1. Introduction

During past earthquakes, surface rupture has caused devastation of numerous structures like buildings, bridges, pipelines. Following the two major earthquakes in Turkey and Taiwan in 1999 and collapse of many structures due to fault rupture, serious attention was paid to this subject. The length of causative fault of Chi-Chi earthquake in Taiwan (1999) is about 90 km. This fault speeded all the way to the ground surface. The severe tectonic deformation caused a variety of structural failures, and well-documented case histories of fault rupture interaction with engineering structures [1,2]. Another example is Wenchuan 2008 earthquake with magnitude of Mw 7.9 which occurred along the Longmenshan fault, a thrust structure along the border of the Indo-Australian plate and Eurasian plate. As shown in Figure 1a, the road offsets vertically by 2 m and the buildings next to the right side of the road collapsed. Figure 1b, shows a school, whose left side was driven 2 m upward and the building was largely undamaged [3]. This photo shows that in some circumstances, the rupture can be deviated from the structure.
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A wide variety of studies were carried out worldwide on the subject of fault rupture emphasizing on the three fields: (a) analysis of case histories [4,2,5,6], (b) physical modeling studies [7,8,9,10] and (c) numerical or analytical studies [11,12,13].

The main concern of aforementioned studies is to investigate the influential parameters of fault rupture-structure interaction. To take this problem into account, most seismic design codes prevent construction across, or in the immediate vicinity of seismically active faults. For instance, the Alquist-Priolo earthquake fault zoning Act and Part 5 of Eurocode 8 prohibit construction in fault setback. However, determination of fault setback is very difficult, since only in a few regions a trustful mapping of seismically active faults can be found. In addition to the uncertainty related to the mapping of active faults, in many cases there exist secondary faults with the associated ruptures located at relatively large distances from the main trace of the faults. Several examples are reported for the aforementioned earthquakes, where most ground distortion took place in an area some tens of meters wide, but extensional cracks were also observed several hundreds of meters from the main trace. In Landers earthquake, around 45% of all surface ruptures fell outside the setback determined from Alquist-Priolo fault zoning [15].

Therefore, in cases where there is a significant degree of uncertainty in the fault mapping, and the risk induced by secondary ruptures cannot be avoided, structural or geotechnical engineering countermeasure are needed to reduce the hazard. As structural point of view, utilization of rigid foundation systems to resist the induced stresses due to
the excessive ground displacements would be beneficial. The foundation's position relative to the free field reverse fault trace as well as its bearing pressure, consist two of the most important parameters that control the surface fault rupture-foundation interaction. Figure 2 presents different fault rupture-foundation interaction. Three mechanisms can happen depending on the relative position of foundation to the fault break, alluvium depth and foundation bearing pressure. In mechanisms "A" and C, the rupture diverts toward the hanging wall or footwall due to the presence of a heavy bearing pressure, whilst, in the mechanism B, rupture hits the foundation [10,16]. Some analytical studies have suggested a minimum weight of the structure required to divert fault rupture beneath the foundation [17,18]. On the other hand, geotechnical point of view might involve the use of ductile compacted fill or reinforced fill. These efforts have focused on spreading out the surface fault displacement to a wider zone. To this end, installing geogrid, have been investigated [19].

In the case of new structures, although a rigid and continuous foundation system or using geogrid can easily be applied for, it is not that simple for the retrofitting of existing structures. Especially for historic buildings and monuments, these methods may be practically impossible. Even if avoidance could be an option for new structures, for existing structures and monuments another solution may be adopted. Especially for the historic buildings and monuments, minimum intervention is quite desirable.

As it was discussed previously, the rupture can be deviated by the presence of heavy structure. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the applicability of rupture deviation using a weak vertical element in soil to localize fault displacement in a pre-determined narrow zone. The underlying idea is briefly addressed in Figure 3a. As can be observed, the free-filed surface fault displacement and the corresponding shear band propagate in alluvium depending on the type, dip, and displacement of fault; nevertheless, in Figure 3b, by constructing a wall with lower shear strength compared to the alluvium, the rupture is localized in the weak zone. In other words, downstream of the wall protected.

The question raised is, what technique for construction of the weak wall should be used, and which material is appropriate for filling it up. The results of the present study suggest that soil bentonite wall can be a proper candidate in this regard. Soil-bentonite wall is constructed by backfilling a trench with a mixture of soil, bentonite, and water (Figure 4). Trench is stabilized while being filled with slurry. The

---

**Fig. 1** Reverse fault rupture examples during the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake in China: (a) The road offset vertically by 2 m and buildings to the right of the road collapsed; (b) The yard of Bailu middle school was offset vertically by 2 m and the building are largely undamaged. [photos adapted from reference 3]

**Fig. 2** Different fault rupture foundation interaction: Mechanism A: rupture is diverted to the hanging wall, Mechanism B: rupture hits the foundation, and Mechanism C: rupture is diverted to the footwall.

**Fig. 3** Problem definition and geometry: (a) Thrust fault rupture, propagating through a 20 m high alluvium, (b) placement of soil-bentonite wall (SBW) leads to fault rupture diversion and mitigation of the hazard.
other end of the trench is backfilled with soil-bentonite while the excavation proceeds along one end of the trench. An important usage of such a wall is to create a low-permeable diaphragm in subsurface to limit or direct the underground flow. It can be used to control the seepage flow under embankment dams as well as landfill leakages. [20,21].

By using this type of wall and applying the numerical and experimental methods, the present research aims to show that by constructing a soil bentonite wall the future fault rupture deviate into the wall and downstream of the wall is protected from future hazard.

2. Modelling Techniques

In the present study, 1g physical modelling tests as well as numerical FEM analyses were carried out in order to investigate the soil bentonite wall effects on fault rupture propagation paths. To ensure that the numerical model could reveal reasonable predictions, physical sandbox model experiments were carried out. A small 1:100-scale model was first built as a physical model. Then, the results of the physical model test were compared with those produced by numerical analyses to ensure the correctness of the numerical simulation. The full-scale numerical model was then adopted to

![Fig. 4 The view of construction of soil bentonite soil bentonite wall a) Excavation of trench in the soil under presence of bentonite b) mixing the soil with bentonite and pouring again in the trench c) top view of excavated soil bentonite wall [photos adapted from reference 20]
reproduce the deformational behavior of the overburden soil when it is subjected to the reverse faulting caused by an earthquake.

2.1. Physical modelling techniques

1g physical modelling tests were carried out in this study in order to better understand the soil bentonite wall’s effects on the fault rupture propagation path through the alluvium. The 1g model testing gives good insight to the problem of fault rupture and it has been used by the past researchers [9,22,23]. Lee and Hamada (2005) compared the result of 1g and centrifuge model testing and concluded that the result of 1g testing would be reliable at least in qualitative manner.

The dimensions of the used sandbox apparatus in the tests are 110 cm in length, 60 cm in height, and 50 cm in width. The length of mobile hanging wall is 40 cm. A schematic view of faulting apparatus along with its picture is shown in Figure 5. The footwall length is selected to be 70 cm to minimize the side boundary effects on the results.

The system utilizes an electric motor, and has been designed to model reverse and normal fault rupture events along different dip angles. The tests examined reverse fault rupture propagation with a dip angle of 45 degrees through the bedrock in a quasi-static mode.

The Firoozkooh sand (No.161) with a mean grain size ($D_{50}$) of 0.25 mm was used in the present study as the alluvium where faulting passes through. Figure 6 presents the grain size distribution curve of the sand. The specific gravity, minimum and maximum dry density of the aforementioned sand are 2.61, 1.71 g/cm$^3$ and 1.42 g/cm$^3$, respectively. The sand was poured into the box with a pre-defined height and velocity to achieve a relative density of approximately 55% which represents a medium loose state for all the tests (Figure 7).

As stated previously, the SBW is a mixture of soil, bentonite, and water. In our 1g models, to satisfy the scale rule, (To have low enough shear strength and high enough compressibility), a mixture of kaolinite, bentonite, and water is used.

To install the wall, first, two steel plates are placed in the box at the desired locations. Then, the sand is poured to the required depth (i.e. 5cm). Next, the clay slurry is poured between the two plates and the rest of the box filled with the sand. Finally, two plates are extracted carefully.

Two plexiglass plates were placed at each face of the box, perpendicular to the fault strike to facilitate digital photography all through the model. The displacement field in the scaled model experiments was constantly monitored using high-resolution optical image correlation techniques. Such optical strain monitoring quantifies the spatial pattern of strain accumulation in the tests. Digital images of the soil sample were captured using a digital camera with 8 million pixels resolution placed in front of the 1g test apparatus. A series of digital images were collected as the faulting tests proceeded in each 2mm base dislocation. Each picture corresponded to a different fault displacement. An optical flow program was written in order to measure soil displacement by comparing sequences of the digital images captured in time. Post
processing allowed examination of the displacement and strain fields. Validation of image processing program was also carried out to ensure acceptable results. For instance, comparison of foundation rotation, measured by the electronic device above the foundation, with that of the image processing technique showed a satisfactory (Figure 8). A comprehensive description of the image processing technique from camera calibration to the results validation can be found in Fadaee et al. 2013 [23].

2.2. Finite element modelling (FEM)

The main role of FEM in this study is to observe the differences between surface profiles and rupture path with and without wall. Despite its unavoidable shortcomings, FE modelling has been shown to be capable of efficiently reproducing fault rupture propagation in the free field [11,22] as well as its interaction with surface foundations [24]. An essential requirement is to adopt a refined mesh and an appropriate constitutive model for soil [22]. For this purpose, the commercial FE code ABAQUS (2011) was used. The soil is modeled with quadrilateral continuum elements of dimension $d_{FE} = 0.5$ m to achieve a reasonably refined mesh. An elasto-plastic isotropic strain softening constitutive model with Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion was adopted [11]. Strain softening is introduced by reducing the mobilized friction angle $\phi_{mob}$ and the mobilized dilation angle $\psi_{mob}$ with the increase of plastic octahedral shear strain:

$$\phi_{mob} = \begin{cases} \phi_p - \frac{\phi_p - \phi_{res}}{\gamma_p^f}, & \text{for } 0 \leq \gamma_{oct} < \gamma_p^f \\ \phi_{res}, & \text{for } \gamma_{oct} \geq \gamma_p^f \end{cases}$$  \tag{1}

$$\psi_{mob} = \begin{cases} \psi_p \left[1 - \frac{\psi_p}{\psi_f'}\right], & \text{for } 0 \leq \gamma_{oct} < \gamma_p^f \\ \psi_{res}, & \text{for } \gamma_{oct} \geq \gamma_p^f \end{cases}$$  \tag{2}

where : $\phi_p$ and $\phi_{res}$ are the peak mobilized friction angle and its residual (or critical state) value ; $\psi_p$ is the peak dilation angle ; $\gamma_p^f$ is the plastic octahedral shear strain at the end of softening. Pre-yield behavior is modeled as linear elastic, with a secant elastic modulus linearly increasing with depth in full scale model.

Two kind of numerical models for the free field fault rupture analyses are used: a) small scale numerical model which represents the 1g tests, and b) full scale model (Figure 9). Small scale numerical model is used to calibrate numerical model and verify the effectiveness of proposed method. Then, on the basis of this model, full scale numerical model is built. To obtain material property of the soil in 1g test (Model a) and prototype condition (Model b), direct shear tests on sand and clay were run. To model a 20 m alluvium depth, Normal stress ($\sigma_v$) varied from 100 to 400 kPa, and to model 1g model tests, $\sigma_v$ was kept less than 10 kPa. When $\sigma_v \geq 100$ kPa, the peak and residual friction angles were $\phi_{peak} = 32^\circ$ and $\phi_{res} = 30^\circ$. On the other hand, for low normal stress ($\sigma_v < 10$ kPa), the peak friction angle increases substantially, reaching $\phi_{peak} \approx 45$. Although this soil is in loose state, in very low normal stress, it can dilate and show peak and post peak behavior [25,28].

The numerical model (which was extensively used in QUAKER project [11,24]) is capable to reproduce soil behavior by a series of FE simulations of the direct shear test. In this simulation, all soil parameters including elastic (or deformation) modulus were determined.

For estimation of soil bentonite wall (SBW) property in prototype scale, according to the literature [26,27], it may be conservatively assumed that the final undrained shear strength ($S_u$) can be estimated on the basis of normally consolidated samples. Based on the results of triaxial consolidated undrained (CU) tests of such samples, combined with the empirical correlations of $S_u$ with PI and OCR [28], the normalized undrained shear strength of the clay mixture was estimated as $S_u/\sigma_v' = 0.25$. A secant Young modulus $E/S_u = 300$ was also considered suitable for the clay.

In 1g small-scale model testing, an extensive effort was devoted to find an appropriate clay, so that its strength remains constant during the test, or it should show a very low rate of consolidation. A mixture of three parts of kaolinite to one part of montmorillonite (based on dry weight) was selected appropriate after a survey of a range of clay mixtures. The mixture exhibits a low rate of consolidation, and has a LL of 110% and a PL of 25%.

![Fig. 8 Validation of image processing program performance by comparison of foundation rotation from instrument and program](image)

![Fig. 9 Mesh, Geometry and boundary condition of fault rupture model a) small-scale numerical model representative for the 1g tests, b) full scale numerical model](image)
Various water contents resulted in different undrained shear strength of clay. The undrained shear strength of the model clay was adjusted by changing the model clay's water content. The water content of the model clay was selected to be 100% which corresponds to an undrained shear strength of 1.2 kPa.

Prior to imposing fault displacement, the in situ stresses were allowed to develop by standard boundary conditions. Then, the fault displacement was monotonically applied through the left side and the left bottom boundaries of the model, while other boundaries were kept fixed. The large displacement Lagrangian description was adopted in numerical analyses because of the large imposed displacement.

3. Reduced 1g Model Results

3.1 Free-field fault rupture propagation

Results from the free field test are discussed first as a reference. The deformed soil model (Figure 10a) with superimposed displacement vectors (as computed through image processing) is compared with the FE deformed mesh, for bedrock fault offset h = 1 m. However, we applied h=1 cm to the model, to maintain a 1:100 scale: all 1g test results are presented in prototype. The analysis appears to agree qualitatively with the experiment, predicting with reasonable accuracy the rupture path and the location of its emergence at the ground surface.

This is further confirmed in Figure 10b, which compares the experimental with the analytical results in terms of vertical displacement profiles at the ground surface, for fault offset amplitude of 1 m. While the analysis results are plotted for h = 1.0, the experimental images were obtained for roughly the same bedrock offset h = 0.95 m. Due to very low confining pressure, small-scale experiments do offer valuable qualitative insights on the mechanics of rupturing. The only difference refers to the slope of the surface scarp, which appears to be shallower in the numerical model. This discrepancy can be attributed to siding effect, and numerical model simplification.

Siding effect can be seen in Figure 11. Although the plexiglass is considered to be smooth to reduce the friction with soil, the fault outcrop is not still linear. On the other hand, the numerical model can capture the main mechanism of the fault rupture, however, still some differences exist even in class "A" prediction of centrifuge modelling due to the simplified modelling of post-peak soil behavior [24].
3.2 Results for free field fault rupture in treated case

In the second step, the results for the case of fault diversion by addition of a wall is investigated. SBW thickness (w) is 3m, positioned at a distance of 8 m from the hanging-wall as shown in Figure 12. Again image of the deformed soil with superimposed displacement vectors (Figure 12a) is compared with the FE deformed mesh, for bedrock fault offset h = 1 m. As seen, a good estimation was made by the numerical analysis to capture main behavior of the experiment.

A comparison of surface profile between the experiment and numerical model was made in Figure 12b for abovementioned fault offset. The maximum vertical displacement in the wall vicinity is larger in the numerical model, but are identical at other areas. This inconsistency can be attributed to the difference in clay behavior, since the clay in the experiment tends to behave in a more ductile manner. Despite this qualitative difference, the numerical and experimental results are comparable in terms of surface profile. The SBW manages to deviate most of the faulting–induced shear band effectively towards the wall.

The magnitude of fault offset is important parameter in fault rupture problems. To investigate the influence of this parameter the test was continued by imposing more displacement on the hanging wall. A picture of the deformed model with superimposed displacement vectors computed through image analysis and top view of the first and second rupture on the surface are shown in Figure 13 when the fault

![Figure 12](image1.png)

**Fig. 12** Interaction of a reverse fault rupture propagating through loose sand with soil bentonite wall (SBW) positioned at X = 8 m; comparison of experimental with numerical analysis results: (a) photo of the deformed model with superimposed displacement vectors computed through image analysis, compared to FE deformed mesh with superimposed shear strain for bedrock fault offset h = 1 m; (b) vertical displacement profiles at the soil surface for fault offset of 1m

![Figure 13](image2.png)

**Fig. 13** Fault rupture–SBW interaction mechanisms for fault offset h=2.4 m for wall positioned at x=8 m in experiment, a) photo of the deformed model with superimposed displacement vectors computed through image analysis; b) top view of the first and second rupture on the surface
offset (h) reached 2.4 m. As can be seen, there are two rupture paths, one in the SBW and another one which starts from a depth of 13 m and continues towards the downstream of the wall. The second rupture path outcrop on the surface is clear in the top view of the fault box in Figure 13b. In the numerical model similar results were obtained at least qualitatively. The secondary rupture is created when the fault offset reached 2 m. In Figures 14a and 14b the deformed mesh and vertical surface profile are shown. Although 1 m fault offset rupture path diverted in the wall completely, in 2 m fault offset a secondary rupture is created. In the numerical model, secondary rupture created in smaller fault offset comparing to the 1g model test. This discrepancy can be attributed to the difference in clay behavior, since the clay in the experiment is more compressible than numerical model. Despite this qualitative difference, both the numerical and experimental results show creation of secondary rupture. It appears that, to maintain full absorption of the fault offset, a thicker wall or two walls will be required to accommodate the larger lateral compressional deformation.

4. Discussion

A study on the influence of fault break position and shear strength of the soil bentonite wall has been conducted incorporating a full scale numerical model (Figure 9b) to obtain a perspective of the fault rupture propagation pattern through the sand layer in the presence of soil bentonite wall.

4.1 Fault break position

As it was discussed previously, precise determination of fault break is difficult in many situations. In many cases, instead of introducing a single line for fault location, the fault zone can be determined. Intersection of rupture path with SBW is necessary for its deviation. Hence, for proper design of the wall depth, a numerical sensitivity analysis is needed. In other words, analyses should be repeated for a range of postulated possible fault break positions and dips. Through such analysis, appropriate wall depth can subsequently be determined according to different possible fault outcrop. For instance, a parametric study on the effect of the location of the fault rupture relative to the wall is presented in Figure 15. As seen, in the three fault break locations (Figs. 15 b, c, d and e), SBW succeeded to attract the rupture toward itself. In Figure 11e although the rupture has not been diverted completely, the area which intended to protect from rupture remain almost intact and the secondary rupture passes through downstream of the wall. The surface profile of the three aforementioned fault breaks for the case of with and without wall are compared in Figure 16. For different fault breaks, the surface profile is shifted from left to the right from x=15 to x=0 m. However, when the wall is constructed in front of the possible fault break,
the surface profile in the protected zone is almost horizontal. It should be mentioned that the wall depth is designed on the basis of possible fault break and the maximum length of area which intended to be protected from future rupture.

4.2. Shear strength of the soil bentonite wall

Shear strength of the soil bentonite wall comparing to surrounding media is a very crucial parameter. To demonstrate how the shear strength of the soil bentonite wall affects the vertical surface profile, two values of normalized shear strength (\( Su/\sigma^\prime \)) = 0.25, and 0.45) have been compared. As shown in Figure 17, the soil bentonite wall with normalized shear strength of 0.25 succeeds to deviate the rupture path. The ground surface displacement shows no remarkable deformation and distortion compared to the zone of distortion in the free field case. Increasing the wall's normalized shear strength to 0.45 reduces the wall's performance significantly. Hence, proper wall's normalized shear strength value should be selected on the basis of the alluvium material to deviate the faulting path inward the wall appropriately.

5. Summary and Conclusion

The main idea of this paper is to make a specific weakness in soil media, the propagation pathway of rupture zone can be diverted toward this area and other areas can be protected from this hazard. The weakness zone can be soil bentonite wall with low shear strength, comparing to alluvium. To investigate the applicability of this method for fault deviation, 1g physical modelling tests were carried out. Then, small-scale numerical model was built and it's results were compared to 1g tests. It was shown that, the wall can absorb the fault offset due to lower shear strength and higher compressibility regarding to surrounding soil. By applying more fault offset, wall cannot absorb the displacement and secondary rupture created after the wall. Thus, by applying more fault offset, thicker wall may be required to suppress creation of secondary rupture.

Then, full-scale numerical model, is built and influence of fault position and wall shear strength are investigated. As precise determination of fault break is difficult in many situations, analyses should be repeated for a range of postulated possible fault break positions. Through such analysis, suitable wall depth can subsequently be determined according to different possible fault position. Wall's material property should be selected on the basis of surrounding alluvium to deviate the faulting path inward the wall appropriately.
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